





APPLICATION NO). I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/846,297	•	05/02/2001	Kiyoshi Kumata	0717-0465P	0717-0465P 3865	
2292	7590	11/13/2002				
BIRCH S	TEWART	KOLASCH & BI	EXAMINER			
PO BOX 7 FALLS CI	• •	A 22040-0747		NGUYEN, CHANH DUY		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2675	in	
				DATE MAILED: 11/13/2002	10	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

X

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	15
÷	09/846,297	KUMATA ET AL	<i>)</i> v '
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Chanh Nguyen	2675	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap		ith the correspondence addres	s
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP	I V IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 N	MONTH(S) FROM	
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of thi d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tte, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this commur BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16	<u> October 2002</u> .		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ T	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow			erits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde Disposition of Claims		.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc			
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	= : :	• •	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in r 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	• •		
	-Aarriirier.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		0.440(-) (1) - (0)	
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:			
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documer			
2. Certified copies of the priority documer			
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis 	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_	l e
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	stic priority under 35 U.S.C	§ 119(e) (to a provisional app	lication).
a) The translation of the foreign language p 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	• •		
Attachment(s)	p, w 00 0.0.0	. 33 .== =	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152	

Art Unit: 2675

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The amendment filed on October 16, 2002 has been entered and considered by examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 4. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Masaharu et al (JP10-271341) or Zimmermann (U.S. Patent No. 5,185,667) in view of Juday et al (U.S. Patent 5,067,019; hereinafter briefly referred to as Juday).

Art Unit: 2675

As to claim 1, Zimmermann discloses an omniazimuthal visual system including an optical system (1-2) capable of obtaining an image of 360° view field area therearound and capable of central projection transformation for the image; see column 4, lines 49-59. It is noted that the optical system recited in the claim is well-known in the art to receive an image of 360° view field area (panoramic 360° image) being central projection transformation for the image (i.e. polar coordinate transformed to rectangular coordinate); see page 3, lines 1-9, even optical system disclosed in the invention is described in the Japanese Laid-Open Publication No. 6-295333 as admitted by applicant on page 18, lines 13-15 of the specification.

Zimmermann teaches an image section (3) for converting the image obtained through the optical system into the image data (see column 3, lines 29-32).

Zimmermann teaches that a computer (5-7) including an image transformation (6-7), a display section (display monitor 11) and a display controller (8-10, 12) as recited in the claim; see column 3, lines 25-48. The only thing different from the prior art admitted by applicant and the claimed invention is that the image transformation section (6-7) of the Zimmermann uses software similar to the related art (Figure 10) of the application to perform the transforming the image data into display data whereas the claimed invention uses hardware such as a buffer memory, an arithmetic/logic circuit, a lookup table and a CPU to perform the transforming the image data. The reference of Masaharu is similar to the device of Zimmermann which teaches a CPU (10) performing data transformation (see paragraphs 21-22). Juday teaches at least one buffer memory (50, 74, 24, 46) for temporarily storing the image data and the display data, an

Art Unit: 2675

arithmetic/logic circuit (multiplier 30, 68, adder 42, 72) for performing coordinate transformed into display data, a lookup table of trigonometric function (34, 36) for use in the arithmetic/logic circuit (30, 68, 42, 72), CPU (computer 104) for controlling at least one buffer memory, the arithmetic/logic circuit and the table. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have substituted the hardware image transformation as taught by Juday to the software image transformation section of prior art admitted by applicant so as to provide a real time remapped image according to any one of a number of different remapping transformations; see column 3, lines 20-46 of Juday.

As to claims 2-3, Juday clearly teaches the transform image data including zoom function (magnification) or pan function for generating a perspective image; see column 14, line 40 through column 15, line 51.

As to claim 4, Juday clearly teaches the arithmetic/logic circuit is being formed only by linear operation circuit (adding or mutiplier circuits is linear operation circuit); see column 9, line 18-34 (it is noted that the equation (3) is linear equation).

As to claims 5-6, using the hyperboloidal mirror optical structure as recited in the claims are well-known in the art, even admitted by applicant on page 18, lines 12-15. The optical system disclosed by this instant application is the same as the optical system disclosed in Japanese-Laid Open Publication No. 6-295333 as admitted by applicant.

Art Unit: 2675

As to claim 7, transforming the image into digital image data is taught by Zimmermann (see column 3,lines 28-31), even the reference of Juday teaches an analog to digital converter (6).

As to claim 8, the image section (programmable remapper 10) of Juday having expansion PROM (e.g., 142) for storing the image information. This reads on the an image recording section as broad claimed language.

As to claim 9, Juday teaches that "the Address Lookup Table 34 (and the Factor Look-Up Table 36) may be segmented to allow several transforms to be loaded at once; see column 7, lines 51-60. Thus the CPU (104) must process in parallel so that several transform can be loaded at once.

As to claims 10-11, the limitations recited in claims 10-11 are met by Zimmermman (see column 5, lines 20-46) and the prior art by Juday. For example, Juday clearly teaches a pan function.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-11 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

In view arguments, the reference of Zimmermann and Masaharu et al have been added for new ground of rejection.

Art Unit: 2675

Inquiries

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chanh Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-6603.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Saras, can be reached on (703) 305-9720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9314.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is 9703) 306-0377.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist)

C.Nguyen

November 8, 2002

CHANH NGUYEN

Page 6